

- 5 -

REMARKS & ARGUMENTS

Favourable reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-13 and 17 as being anticipated by Henning et al. The Examiner is requested to reconsider the rejection in view of the above amendments and the following comments.

It is respectfully submitted that Henning does not show all the features of the claimed invention. Specifically Henning does not have plurality of teeth with each tooth having the same geometry, rather Henning has a plurality of teeth including alternating roughing teeth and finishing teeth. The roughing teeth and the finishing teeth have different shapes and rolls. Further the tooth with the shaped dimple of the present invention is quite different from the tooth of shown in Henning. It is respectfully submitted that the Henning does not have a dimple *per se*, rather it has a tooth with a notch formed therein. The notch has the same cross section across the width of the tooth. This is quite clear from the drawings. Accordingly Henning does not have a tooth with a dimple that has a surface that is shaped inwardly with a curved plane as claimed in amended claim 1. Further Henning does not have a tooth with a top upwardly curved portion as claimed in amended claim 1. In addition Henning does not have a curved cutting edge defined by the leading edge of the tooth and the dimple leading edge, rather Henning has a blunt cutting edge because the notch is spaced downwardly from the leading edge.

Accordingly for all of the above reasons it is respectfully submitted that claim 1 as amended is patentable over Henning and the cited prior art. Further it is submitted that all claims dependent thereon are similarly patentable. It is submitted that claims 14 - 19 are directed to specific configurations of the dimple and that they all further define different species of claim 1. Accordingly since it is submitted that claim 1 is patentable over the

- 6 -

prior art it is similarly submitted that all claims including claims 14 - 19 are similarly patentable.

Applicant submits that the amendments to the application are to more clearly and succinctly recite and claim the present invention. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been added by these amendments and all the amendments are supported by the original specification as a whole.

It is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance, which is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:

July 23/03

By:

Nancy E. Hill
Nancy E. Hill (agent of record) for

Ralph A. Dowell
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 26,868
DOWELL & DOWELL, P.C.
1215 Jefferson Davis Highway
Suite 309
Arlington, Virginia
(703) 415-2555

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.: 13744 (253-009-P)